

A Randomized Controlled Comparison of Emotional Freedom Technique and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy to Reduce Adolescent Anxiety: A Pilot Study

Amy H. Gaesser, PhD,¹ and Orv C. Karan, PhD²

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this pilot study was to compare the efficacy of Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT) with that of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) in reducing adolescent anxiety.

Design: Randomized controlled study.

Settings: This study took place in 10 schools (8 public/2 private; 4 high schools/6 middle schools) in 2 northeastern states in the United States.

Participants: Sixty-three high-ability students in grades 6–12, ages 10–18 years, who scored in the moderate to high ranges for anxiety on the Revised Children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale-2 (RCMAS-2) were randomly assigned to CBT ($n=21$), EFT ($n=21$), or waitlist control ($n=21$) intervention groups.

Interventions: CBT is the gold standard of anxiety treatment for adolescent anxiety. EFT is an evidence-based treatment for anxiety that incorporates acupoint stimulation. Students assigned to the CBT or EFT treatment groups received three individual sessions of the identified protocols from trained graduate counseling, psychology, or social work students enrolled at a large northeastern research university.

Outcome measures: The RCMAS-2 was used to assess preintervention and postintervention anxiety levels in participants.

Results: EFT participants ($n=20$; $M=52.16$, $SD=9.23$) showed significant reduction in anxiety levels compared with the waitlist control group ($n=21$; $M=57.93$, $SD=6.02$) ($p=0.005$, $d=0.74$, 95% CI [-9.76, -1.77]) with a moderate to large effect size. CBT participants ($n=21$; $M=54.82$, $SD=5.81$) showed reduction in anxiety but did not differ significantly from the EFT ($p=0.18$, $d=0.34$; 95% CI [-6.61, 1.30]) or control ($p=0.12$, $d=0.53$, 95% CI [-7.06, .84]).

Conclusions: EFT is an efficacious intervention to significantly reduce anxiety for high-ability adolescents.

Keywords: Emotional Freedom Techniques, randomized controlled trial, adolescent anxiety, schools, gifted

Introduction

OF THE APPROXIMATELY 50.5 MILLION school-age children from pre-K through 12th grade in the United States, over 5 million struggle with the negative effects of anxiety,¹ including up to 2.5 million who refuse to go to school and/or participate in parts of their school day.² Anxiety impedes concentration, unsettles behavior, and interferes with per-

ception, frustrating the optimal functioning of students.^{3–8} Cognitive resources of those affected are diverted from information processing and creative endeavors,⁹ which inhibits development of abilities and talents. While research has indicated that the adverse effects of anxiety on performance can be reduced or eliminated with the use of effective resources,¹⁰ excessively high caseloads of school counselors, psychologists, and social workers, as well as scheduling

¹Department of Educational Studies, Counseling and Development Program, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.

²Department of Educational Psychology, Counseling Program, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT.

difficulties, limit the amount of time available for these professionals to provide individual counseling support long term.^{11–13}

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is the gold standard of treatment for adolescent anxiety; this therapy uses evidence-based techniques to help clients cognitively reframe their interpretations and neutralize their psychological and emotional responses to present stimuli through awareness building and systematic desensitization processes.¹⁴ One meta-analysis on the use of CBT to treat adult anxiety reported moderate to large effect sizes for panic disorder (effect size range of 1.53–1.02), social anxiety disorder (effect size range of 1.75–0.89), and generalized anxiety disorder (effect size range of 0.92–2.26).¹⁵ Similarly, another meta-analysis examined CBT alone to treat anxiety (average effect size of 0.82 95% CI [0.63, 1.00]) compared with CBT with pharmacology (average effect size of 0.33 95% CI [–0.02, 0.67]).¹⁶ Additionally, a meta-analysis investigating the effectiveness of psychotherapy for childhood anxiety revealed an overall mean treatment effect of 0.86.¹⁷

Conversely, while the use of CBT for anxiety is well established, research suggests that traditional interventions have limited success in treating adolescent anxiety in the long term.^{18–21} Studies have indicated that many treated patients continued to be symptomatic when sessions ended;²² at least 50% of participants were nonresponsive to treatment,²³ and an even greater percentage continued to need at least one psychotropic medication trial and/or continued outpatient therapy.²⁴ Effective treatment interventions are needed to reduce anxiety and help students to develop effective management strategies.

Concurrently, growing evidence supports Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT) as an efficacious treatment for anxiety in adults.^{25–28} Scholars have identified EFT, progressive muscle relaxation, autogenic training, relaxation response, biofeedback, EFT, guided imagery, diaphragmatic breathing, transcendental meditation, CBT, and mindfulness-based stress reduction as evidence-based techniques to address stress.^{29,30} Results of a meta-analysis investigating EFT as an intervention for anxiety revealed large effects sizes compared with controls in both adults and children. The combined pre–post effect size for the EFT treatment groups was 1.23 (95% CI [0.82, 1.64]; $p < 0.001$), and the effect size for combined controls was 0.41 (95% CI [0.17, 0.67]; $p = 0.001$).³¹ Research has examined diaphragmatic breathing and EFT interventions for anxiety and reported large posttest between-group effect sizes (Subjective Units of Distress Scale, $d = 1.11$; Beck Anxiety Inventory, $d = 0.94$; Behavioral Approach Test, $d = 0.89$),³² as well as improvements in both the diaphragmatic breathing and EFT groups with gains maintained on follow-up.³³ Furthermore, a systematic review of EFT research in adults also indicated a significant reduction of symptoms long-term with fewer required sessions than traditional CBT.³⁴

Initial studies examining EFT for adolescent anxiety have supported EFT as an evidence-based intervention. This research has indicated that EFT reduces anxiety related to mathematics³⁵ and significantly decreases test anxiety ($p < 0.05$).³⁶ Additionally, EFT significantly reduces the intensity of traumatic memories in abused adolescents (Impact of Event Scale scores: (preintervention mean = 36, SD \pm 4.74, postintervention mean = 3, SD \pm 2.60, $p < .001$).³⁷ The current

quantitative study extends important research on the efficacy of EFT to treat adolescent anxiety, especially in school settings. Additionally, it contributes to the existing research on the efficacy of EFT compared with CBT for treating anxiety by using standardized, research-based treatment protocols for both CBT and EFT and by including a waitlist control group to more fully assess treatment outcomes.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Sixty-three students (18 male, 45 female; age 10–18 years) who scored at moderate to high anxiety levels (i.e., 61–70 and ≥ 71 , respectively) on the Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale-2 (RCMAS-2)³⁸ participated. All were engaged in high-ability education programs, in grades 6–12, in public or private schools in two northeastern states. Participants came from a total of 10 schools and were within the top 15%–20% of their peer groups academically. Of these schools, 8 were public and 2 were private. Concurrently, 4 were high schools and 6 were middle schools.

Procedures

This study was designed to meet the American Psychological Association (APA) Division 12 quality control criteria^{39,40} and the Consolidated Standards for Reporting Trials (CONSORT) criteria.⁴¹ Schools throughout one northeastern state were invited to collaborate in the recruitment for this study. Ten schools from two northeastern states expressed interest and distributed the information forms to students from their high-ability programs and these students' parents. Additionally, the original state's association for the gifted posted a study recruitment announcement on their website.

The pretreatment RCMAS-2 was administered to all interested students who, depending on age, consented or assented and received parent/guardian permission to participate after they attended an informational meeting explaining the study. Through use of permuted randomized assignment, participants identified as having moderate to high levels of anxiety on the pretreatment RCMAS-2 were randomly assigned to one of three treatment groups: (1) CBT ($n = 21$), (2) EFT ($n = 21$), or (3) waitlist control ($n = 21$).

Permuted randomization allowed for restricted distribution of participants across the assignment of intervention groups, with equity maintained in the number of participants assigned to each group.⁴² Additionally, it ensured that the order in which groups were assigned each time was randomized to minimize assignment bias. A restricted assignment model was used to force equal sample sizes across groups as participants joined the study, as recommended for studies with fewer than 200 participants.⁴³ To minimize potential researcher bias negatively affecting outcomes, RCMAS-2s administered before and after the intervention were scored by a blinded independent assessor. Before participant assignment, graduate students taking upper-level classes on counseling, psychology, or social work and enrolled in graduate programs at a large northeastern research university had been randomly sorted into the CBT or EFT interventions and trained in their respective protocols. Training including 6 hours of instruction on the assigned protocol, and then individual practice sessions supervised by certified practitioners until

mastery of the assigned protocol was achieved. These practitioners used mastery checklists to determine when the graduate students achieved mastery.

Measures

Outcome measure. The RCMAS-2 was used to assess pre- and posttreatment anxiety levels in study participants. The RCMAS-2 is a 49-item questionnaire and one of the most extensively used anxiety scales for children;⁴⁴ it has adequate to excellent reliability and excellent validity.³⁸ RCMAS-2 scores are reported as T-scores. RCMAS-2 scores of 60 or lower are considered in the normal to low range, scores of 61–70 are considered in the moderate range, and scores of 71 or higher are considered in the high range.

Scores on the RCMAS-2 exhibited adequate to excellent reliability on the basis of Cronbach α estimates of total anxiety (TOT)=0.92 for internal consistency with a standard error of the mean of ± 3 , and test-retest reliability for TOT of $r^2=0.76$.³⁸ RCMAS-2 was determined to be a reliable measure for anxiety across sex, grade level, and ethnicity,^{38,45,46} as well as for high-ability children.^{47,48}

Construct validity of the RCMAS-2 was supported by extensive factor analysis.^{49,50} Reynolds⁵¹ further confirmed construct validity by comparing convergent and divergent validity between the RCMAS and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC) and found a large correlation between the RCMAS and the STAIC Trait scale ($r=0.85$; $p<.001$). Reynolds⁵² found a score correlation of $r=0.78$ between the RCMAS and the STAIC Trait scale for high-IQ children, providing additional support for validity with this group. Validity has been further established with correlations between RCMAS scores and teacher-observed behavior.⁵³

Intervention protocols. Both the CBT and EFT protocols used in this study were manualized, specific, replicable, and had been used in previous research.

CBT helps clients to cognitively reframe their interpretations and neutralize their psychological and emotional responses to present stimuli through awareness building and systematic desensitization processes.¹⁴ With repeated practice, successful use of CBT is achieved when the individual no longer experiences anxiety related to the original trigger. A brief form of CBT based on the Coping Cat⁵⁴ and the C.A.T. Project⁵⁵ for children was used as the CBT protocol for this study.

EFT is an easily implemented strategy that uses such techniques as awareness building, exposure, reframing of interpretation, and systematic desensitization, while teaching the participant to self-stimulate protocol-identified acupoints (i.e., acupuncture points) by tapping.^{56,57} The effectiveness of acupuncture for treating anxiety has been well documented.^{58–60} Rather than using acupuncture needles, EFT relies on the manual stimulation of the acupoints. A recent meta-analysis indicated that interventions using acupoint stimulation had a moderate effect size (Hedge's $g=-0.66$ 95% CI [-0.99, -0.33]) in reducing symptoms.⁶¹ In EFT, the client stimulates the protocol-identified acupoints by tapping on them. Preliminary studies have suggested that tapping and other alternative ways of stimulating acupuncture points to be as effective as acupuncture needling.⁶² The EFT protocol and identified acupoints that were

used in this study are the ones recommended for research purposes by the Association for Comprehensive Energy Psychology⁶³ and identified in Supplementary Appendix A (Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/acm).

Fidelity of intervention mastery and implementation was monitored throughout the study by practitioners certified in the respective modalities (CBT or EFT) through regular reviews of session briefs and audiotapes.

Data analysis

Permuted randomized assignment of study participants to treatment groups was used to support unbiased estimates of the average treatment effect.⁶⁴ Treatment outcomes were assessed by using the RCMAS-2 posttreatment (TOTf) scores. A one-way between-groups analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to assess outcome differences across treatment groups on posttreatment RCMAS-2 TOT scores (TOTf) by using the pretreatment RCMAS-2 (i.e., TOTin) as the covariate. The independent variable was the type of treatment modality (i.e., CBT, EFT, or control) received by the participants. The dependent variable was the posttreatment RCMAS-2 total (TOTf) scores. Posttreatment RCMAS-2 was administered to each participant after the participant underwent three individual skill development sessions in the assigned modality. A one-way between groups analysis of variance on TOTin confirmed that groups were equal before treatment and a between-groups ANCOVA confirmed a strong covariance ($\eta^2=0.23$) between TOTin and TOTf. The analyses were completed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh (Version 22.0, Armonk, NY).

Results

Implementation

Delivery of intervention sessions. Participants assigned to CBT or EFT treatment groups received three individual sessions of the identified intervention from trained graduate students. Attrition was minimal, with only one participant assigned to EFT withdrawing from the study before beginning her sessions because of scheduling difficulties with her extracurricular activities.

Intervention sessions with participants occurred over a 5-month period. Most individual sessions occurred not less than 1 week or more than 2 weeks apart. Participants in both the CBT and EFT groups received regular, individual intervention sessions from their assigned graduate student for three sessions. These sessions occurred at a time mutually agreed upon by the graduate student, participant, and, where applicable, school and participant's parent/guardian.

At the first individual session, the assigned graduate student shared the appropriate intervention protocol with the participant. Participants' parents/guardians also received a copy of the assigned protocol. The graduate student and study participant then followed the steps outlined in the respective protocols over the period of the three sessions. No adverse events occurred within any of the sessions. CBT and EFT participants completed the posttreatment RCMAS-2 after completing their third individual session.

Post-intervention sessions. All RCMAS-2s throughout the study were scored by an independent blind assessor. The

waitlist control group received no intervention throughout the duration of the delivery of the individual CBT and EFT sessions. Upon completion of all individual CBT and EFT sessions, the waitlist control group completed their second RCMAS-2 before receiving any treatment themselves. The waitlisted control participants were then offered an EFT group intervention session using the EFT protocol. Research has supported the effectiveness of a single session of EFT.^{27,37}

Analysis

Table 1 provides the within-group pre/post means and standard deviations. Treatment outcomes were measured by administration of the RCMAS-2 after treatment and analyzed by using ANCOVA, with pretreatment RCMAS-2 scores serving as the covariate. A one-way, between-groups ANCOVA was conducted to compare treatment effectiveness on participants' posttreatment anxiety level scores. The ANCOVA was computed on posttreatment RCMAS-2 TOTf scores with TOTin and intervention and the interaction (TOTin*intervention). The interaction term was not significant ($F[2, 56]=0.094$; $p=0.911$) and was removed from the model. Preliminary checks were conducted to ensure that there was no violation of assumptions of normality, linearity, homogeneity of variances, homogeneity of regression slopes, and reliable measurement of the covariate. The Levene test showed equality of variance ($p=0.058$) for the resulting model. TOTin was a significant covariate ($F[1, 58]=17.47$; $p<0.001$; $\eta^2=0.23$), explaining 23% of the variance in TOTf scores. Intervention was a significant factor ($F[2, 58]=4.186$; $p=0.020$; $\eta^2=0.13$) with a large effect size.

Students in the EFT treatment group ($n=20$; $M=52.16$, $SD=9.23$) had significantly lower posttreatment anxiety scores than students in the control group ($n=21$; $M=57.93$, $SD=6.02$) ($p=0.005$; $d=.74$; 95% CI [-9.76, -1.77]) with a moderate to large effect size. Students in the CBT group ($n=21$; $M=54.82$, $SD=5.81$) had decreased anxiety scores, but they did not differ significantly from students in the EFT group ($p=0.18$; $d=0.34$; 95% CI [-6.61, 1.30]) or control group ($p=0.12$; $d=0.53$; 95% CI [-7.06, .84]). During the post hoc analysis, a Bonferroni-corrected α of $p=0.016$ was used to maintain a group error rate of 0.05.

TABLE 1. WITHIN-GROUP COMPARISONS BY REVISED CHILDREN'S MANIFEST ANXIETY SCALE-2 FULL SCALE SCORES

Variable	Mean \pm SD
CBT ($n=21$)	
Pretreatment	64.05 \pm 6.82
Posttreatment	54.82 \pm 5.81
EFT ($n=20$)	
Pretreatment	63.75 \pm 6.73
Posttreatment	52.16 \pm 9.23
Control ($n=21$)	
Pretreatment	61.62 \pm 5.95
Posttreatment	57.93 \pm 6.02

SD, standard deviation; CBT, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; EFT, Emotional Freedom Techniques.

Discussion

Both the CBT and EFT groups experienced reduced anxiety in this study, although only the EFT group had a statistically significant decrease compared with the control group. Results indicated that EFT is an efficacious intervention in school settings for reducing adolescent anxiety within a few sessions. The significant reduction in anxiety levels for the EFT intervention group is consistent with a growing body of research evidence indicating that EFT is an efficacious treatment for adolescent anxiety.^{31,35-37}

Clinical implications are significant. School counselors, psychologists, and social workers often have limited time and resources to effectively assist students struggling with anxiety and/or teach them effective stress management strategies. EFT is an evidence-based protocol to more rapidly address issues of anxiety and stress in school settings. Helping students to develop effective, easily incorporated anxiety and stress management tools, such as EFT, early in their lives can support maximum development of students' well-being and talent potential, as well as prevent persistent difficulties with impairment due to anxiety into adulthood.

Several factors may account for the significant reduction in anxiety experienced by participants in the EFT group. Therapies that incorporate a somatic component in the treatment of stress and trauma have been gaining traction within clinical practice.⁶⁵ The somatic intervention used in EFT and investigated in this study (i.e., the stimulation of acupoints) has received substantial investigation.^{31,61} For example, when acupoint tapping was introduced to exposure therapy protocols, the extinction of fear memories was accelerated.⁶⁶ Furthermore, biophysical markers indicating a reduction in stress after acupoint tapping have included decreased expression of genes implicated in the stress response,⁶⁷ normalization of brainwave patterns,^{68,69} and hormonal changes associated with stress reduction.²⁶ Strengths of tapping protocols in facilitating memory reconsolidation and the resulting depotentiation of neural pathways that maintain intransigent emotional learnings have also been proposed.⁷⁰ These physiologic shifts after acupoint tapping may help explain the significant reduction in adolescent anxiety evidenced in the present study.

Limitations

This sample was limited to high-ability students from the northwestern United States. Furthermore, a post hoc analysis of power using G*power software found that the study was underpowered (38%), indicating that treatment effectiveness may have been underassessed because of low sample size. Further study is needed with larger, heterogeneous sample sizes to assess generalizability.

Because the RCMAS-2 was administered both before and after treatment and does not have a parallel form, test biasing was a concern; however, randomized assignment of participants helped to minimize this concern. Additionally, analyses completed and outcomes of the TOTf in the waitlist control group suggested that test biasing was not an issue in this study.

Future directions

To more comprehensively assess treatment outcomes, results of this pilot study support further research related to

treatment effectiveness that includes the following: (1) larger sample that consists of both high- and average-ability students, (2) more treatment sessions, (3) additional outcome measures, and (4) additional intervals to assess posttreatment outcomes (e.g., 1 month, 6 months, and/or 1 year after treatment) to more fully assess generalizability of results seen. Biophysical markers, such as neuroimaging findings and cortisol level indicators, should also be included. Further, as imaging technology becomes more refined and advanced, research should be conducted to more fully assess the mechanisms involved in acupoint stimulation during counseling. Finally, a comprehensive comparison of EFT to all relaxation interventions would be beneficial.

Conclusions

Results of this study are consistent with findings from previous research and a meta-analysis showing that EFT is an efficacious, evidence-based treatment for adolescent anxiety. Additionally, the results indicate that EFT can be effectively used in school settings to significantly reduce adolescent anxiety within a few sessions.

Acknowledgments

Implementation of this research was supported by funding from two grants: one from the University of Connecticut (\$2000) and one from the Association for Comprehensive Energy Psychology (\$8000). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the University of Connecticut, the Association for Comprehensive Energy Psychology, or the National Institutes of Health. The authors wish to thank the Neag Center for Gifted Education and Talent Development for the use of their office space and assistance with recruiting collaborating schools. They also gratefully acknowledge the guidance of Drs. Melissa Bray, Sally M. Reis, E. Jean Gubbins, James O'Neil, Joseph S. Renzulli, Lori Leyden, and Jaclyn Chancey during the design and implementation stages; Catherine Ewing, LCSW, for her consultation with the EFT training; research assistant Stephanie Murana for her assistance with data collection and entry; graduate students Mary Jane Bezares, Heather Casale, Marisa del Campo, Samantha Eisenberg, Kaitlin Gillard, Dawne Goodwin, Amanda Kanehl, Dina Menchetti, Mayra Reyes, Nilani Shankar, and Wen Zeng for their meticulous delivery of the intervention protocols; the school and organization contacts for their assistance with recruitment and coordination of meetings at each school; and Yaping H. Anderson and Amy Yu for their assistance with manuscript editing and formatting. Finally, they express their sincere thanks to the students and their parents who volunteered their time to participate in this research.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Costello EJ, Mustillo S, Erkanli A, et al. Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003;60:837–844.
- Anxiety and Depression Association of America. School refusal. Online document at: <http://www.adaa.org/living-with-anxiety/children/school-refusal> Accessed July 30, 2015.
- Bielock SL, Gray R. Why do athletes choke under pressure? In: Tenenbaum G, Eklund RC, eds. *Handbook of Sports Psychology*, 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2007:425–444.
- Blanchette I, Richards A. The influence of affect on higher level cognition: a review of research on interpretation, judgement, decision making and reasoning. *Cogn Emot* 2010;24:561–595.
- Derakshan N, Eysenck MW. Anxiety, processing efficiency, and cognitive performance: new developments from attentional control theory. *Eur Psychol* 2009;14:168–176.
- Eysenck MW, Derakshan N. New perspectives in attentional control theory. *Pers Individ Diff* 2011;50:955–960.
- Hopko DR, Crittendon JA, Grant E, et al. The impact of anxiety on performance IQ. *Anxiety Stress Coping* 2005;18:17–35.
- Nieuwenhuys A, Oudejans RR. Anxiety and perceptual-motor performance: toward an integrated model of concepts, mechanisms, and processes. *Psychol Res* 2012;76:747–759.
- Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, et al. Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional control theory. *Emotion* 2007;7:336.
- Eysenck MW. Attentional control theory of anxiety: recent developments. In: Gruszka A, Matthews G, Szymura B, eds. *Handbook of Individual Differences in Cognition: Attention, Memory, and Executive Control*. New York: Springer, 2010: 195–204.
- Agresta J. Professional role perceptions of school social workers, psychologists, and counselors. *Child Schools* 2004;26:151–163.
- Carey J, Dimmitt C. School counseling and student outcomes: summary of six statewide studies. *Profess School Counsel* 2012;16:146–153.
- Lapan RT, Whitcomb SA, Aleman NM. Connecticut professional school counselors: college and career counseling services and smaller ratios benefit students. *Profess School Counsel* 2012;16:117–124.
- Corey G. *Theory and Practice of Counseling and Psychotherapy*, 7th ed. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole, 2005.
- Stewart RE, Chambless DL. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for adult anxiety disorders in clinical practice: a meta-analysis of effectiveness studies. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2009;77:595.
- Mitte K. Meta-analysis of cognitive-behavioral treatments for generalized anxiety disorder: a comparison with pharmacotherapy. *Psychol Bull* 2005;131:785.
- In-Albon T, Schneider S. Psychotherapy of childhood anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis. *Psychother Psychosom* 2006;76:15–24.
- Compton SN, Walkup JT, Albano AM, et al. Child/adolescent anxiety multimodal study (CAMS): rationale, design, and methods. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 2010;4:1.
- Jansen M, van Doorn MM, Lichtwarck-Aschoff A, et al. Effectiveness of a cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) manualized program for clinically anxious children: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry* 2012;12:1–9.
- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, et al. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in

- the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62:617–627.
21. Muris P, Broeren S. Twenty-five years of research on childhood anxiety disorders: publication trends between 1982 and 2006 and a selective review of the literature. *J Child Fam Stud* 2009;18:388–395.
 22. Bernstein GA, Hektner JM, Borchardt CM, et al. Treatment of school refusal: one-year follow-up. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2001;40:206–213.
 23. Heyne D, Sauter FM, Van Widenfelt BM, et al. School refusal and anxiety in adolescence: non-randomized trial of a developmentally sensitive cognitive behavioral therapy. *J Anxiety Disord* 2011;25:870–878.
 24. Bernstein GA, Borchardt CM, Perwien AR, et al. Imipramine plus cognitive-behavioral therapy in the treatment of school refusal. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2000;39:276–283.
 25. Baker AH, Siegel L. Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT) reduces intense fears: a partial replication and extension of Wells, Polglase, Andrews, Carrington, & Baker (2003). *Energy Psychol Theory Res Treat* 2010;2:13–30.
 26. Church D, Yount G, Brooks AJ. The effect of emotional freedom techniques on stress biochemistry: a randomized controlled trial. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2012;200:891–896.
 27. Rowe JE. The effects of EFT on long-term psychological symptoms. *Counsel Clin Psychol J* 2005;2:104–115.
 28. Wells S, Polglase K, Andrews HB, Carrington P, Baker EH. Evaluation of a meridian-based intervention, Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT), for reducing specific phobias of small animals. *J Clin Psychol* 2003;59:943–966.
 29. Varvogli L, Darviri C. Stress management techniques: evidence-based procedures that reduce stress and promote health. *Health Sci J* 2011;5:74–89.
 30. Sojcher R, Perlman A, Fogerite S. Evidence and potential mechanisms for mindfulness practices and energy psychology for obesity and binge-eating disorder. *Explore J Sci Heal* 2012;8:271–276.
 31. Clond M. Emotional freedom techniques for anxiety: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2016;204:388–395.
 32. Salas M, Brooks A, Rowe J. The immediate effect of a brief energy psychology intervention (emotional freedom techniques) on specific phobias: a pilot study. *Explore (NY)* 2011;7:155–161.
 33. Jain S, Rubino A. The effectiveness of Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT) for optimal test performance: a randomized controlled trial. *Energy Psychol J* 2012;4:13–24.
 34. Feinstein D. Acupoint stimulation in treating psychological disorders: evidence of efficacy. *Rev Gen Psychol* 2012;16:364.
 35. Aremu, A, Taiwo A. Reducing mathematics anxiety among students with pseudo-dyscalculia in Ibadan through numerical cognition and emotional freedom techniques: moderating effect of mathematics efficacy. *Afr J Psychol Studies Social Issues* 2014;17:113–129.
 36. Sezgin N, Özcan B. The effect of progressive muscular relaxation and Emotional Freedom Techniques on test anxiety in high school students: a randomized blind controlled study. *Energy Psychol Theory Res Treat* 2009;1:23–29.
 37. Church D, Piña O, Reategui C, et al. Single-session reduction of the intensity of traumatic memories in abused adolescents after EFT: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Traumatology* 2012;18:73.
 38. Reynolds CR, Richmond BO. RCMAS-2: Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale, 2nd ed. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services, 2008.
 39. Chambless D, Baker MJ, Baucom DH, et al. Update on empirically validated therapies, II. *Clin Psychol* 1998;51:3–6.
 40. Chambless DL, Hollon SD. Defining empirically supported therapies. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1998;66:7.
 41. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel group randomized trials. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2001;1, 2.
 42. Friedman LM, Furberg C, DeMets DL. *Fundamentals of Clinical Trials*, 3rd ed. New York: Springer, 1998.
 43. Shadish WR, Cook TD, Campbell DT. *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 2002.
 44. Silverman W, Treffers P. Bridging research and practice. In: Ollendick TH, March JS, eds. *Phobic and Anxiety Disorders in Children and Adolescents*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2004:506–529.
 45. Ang RP, Lowe PA, Yusof N. An examination of the RCMAS-2 scores across gender, ethnic background, and age in a large Asian school sample. *Psychol Assess* 2011;23:899.
 46. Varela RE, Biggs BK. Reliability and validity of the Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale (RCMAS) across samples of Mexican, Mexican American, and European American children: a preliminary investigation. *Anxiety Stress Coping* 2006;19:67–80.
 47. Reynolds CR, Bradley M. Emotional stability of intellectually superior children versus nongifted peers as estimated by chronic anxiety levels. *School Psych Rev* 1983;12:190–194.
 48. Scholwinski E, Reynolds CR. Dimensions of anxiety among high IQ children. *Gift Child Q* 1985;29:125–130.
 49. Reynolds CR, Paget KD. Factor analysis of the revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale for blacks, whites, males, and females with a national normative sample. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1981;49:352.
 50. Reynolds CR, Richmond BO. Factor structure and construct validity of 'What I think and feel': the revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale. *J Pers Assess* 1979;43:281–283.
 51. Reynolds CR. Concurrent validity of What I Think and Feel: The Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1980;48:774–775.
 52. Reynolds CR. Multitrait validation of the Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale for children of high intelligence. *Psychol Rep* 1985;56:402.
 53. Reynolds CR. Convergent and divergent validity of the Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale. *Educ Psychol Measurements* 1982;42:1205–1212.
 54. Kendall PC, Hedtke KA. *Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for Anxious Children: Therapist Manual*, 3rd ed. Ardmore, PA: Workbook Publishing, 2006.
 55. Kendall P, Choudbury M, Hudson J, et al. *The C.A.T. Project manual for the Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of Anxious Adolescents*. Ardmore, PA: Workbook Publishing, 2002.
 56. Craig G. *The EFT Manual*, 2nd ed. Santa Rosa, CA: Energy Psychology, 2011.
 57. Feinstein D. *Energy Psychology Interactive: Rapid Interventions for Lasting Change*. Ashland, OR: Innersource, 2004.

58. Bussell J. The effect of acupuncture on working memory and anxiety. *J Acupunct Meridian Stud* 2013;6:241–246.
59. Eich H, Agelink MW, Lehmann E, et al. Acupuncture in patients with minor depressive episodes and generalized anxiety: results of an experimental study. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 2000;68:137–144.
60. Bae H, Bae H, Min B, et al. Efficacy of acupuncture in deducing preoperative anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2014;2014:850367.
61. Gilomen SA, Lee CW. The efficacy of acupoint stimulation in the treatment of psychological distress: a meta-analysis. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry* 2015;48:140–148.
62. Cherkin DC, Sherman KJ, Avins AL, et al. A randomized trial comparing acupuncture, simulated acupuncture, and usual care for chronic low back pain. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:858–866.
63. Association for Comprehensive Energy Psychology. EFT protocol. Online document at: <http://energypsych.org/displaycommon.cfm?an=1&subarticlenbr=132> Accessed October 12, 2012.
64. Rosenbaum PR. *Observational Studies*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995:8.
65. Van der Kolk B. *The Body Keeps the Score*. New York: Viking, 2014.
66. Harper M. Taming the amygdala: an EEG analysis of exposure therapy for the traumatized. *Traumatology* 2012;18:61.
67. Church D, Yount G, Rachlin K, et al. Epigenetic effects of PTSD remediation in veterans using clinical EFT (Emotional Freedom Techniques): a randomized controlled trial. Paper presented at the Association for Comprehensive Energy Psychology (ACEP) Conference; Reston, VA; May 30, 2015.
68. Diepold JH Jr, Goldstein DM. Thought field therapy and QEEG changes in the treatment of trauma: a case study. *Traumatology* 2009;15:85.
69. Lambrou PT, Pratt GJ, Chevalier G. Physiological and psychological effects of a mind/body therapy on claustrophobia. *Subtle Energies Energy Med* 2003;14:239–251.
70. Feinstein D. How energy psychology changes deep emotional learnings. *Neuropsychotherapist* 2015;10:39–49.

Address correspondence to:

Amy H. Gaesser, PhD
Department of Educational Studies
Counseling and Development Program
Purdue University
100 N. University Street
West Lafayette, IN 47907

E-mail: agaesse@purdue.edu